



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 10/569,784 | 02/24/2006 | Roland Jermann | 21901US(C038435/0196414) | 6549 |
| 7590 | | 08/26/2008 | EXAMINER | |
| Stephen M Haracz | | | RAO, SAVITHA M | |
| Bryan Cave | | | | |
| 1290 Avenue of the Americas | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| New York, NY 10104-3300 | | | 1614 | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 08/26/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Interview Summary | Application No. 10/569,784 | Applicant(s) JERMANN ET AL. |
| | Examiner SAVITHA RAO | Art Unit 1614 |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) SAVITHA RAO. (3) _____.

(2) Ms. Christina Landona. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 August 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: none.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner called Ms Christina Landona on 8/19/2008 with regards to the potential abandonment of case 10569784 due to the outstanding office action. Ms Christina Landona stated that the case has been abandoned.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/SAVITHA RAO/
Examiner, Art Unit 1614

08/19/2008